

Falls Church, VA 22042-4503

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/773,411 02/09/2004		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
		Takeshi Maeda	ASAM.0109	6610	
7590 11/15/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Stanley P. Fish	er		BIBBINS, I	ATANYA	
Reed Smith LLF	•				
Suite 1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
3110 Fairview Park Drive			2627		

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
			10/773,411		MAEDA, TAKESHI			
			Examiner	Art Unit				
			LaTanya Bibbins	2627				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	ation appe	ars on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence a	address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIN INSIGNS of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DAT 37 CFR 1.1366 cation. ory period will I, by statute, ca	TE OF THIS COMMUNICAL.  In no event, however, may a supply and will expire SIX (6) MON hause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).				
Status		•						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>09 Feb</i>	ruary 2004					
			ction is non-final.					
3)□	,—							
7—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims		•	·				
4)⊠	D)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) <u>8 and 9</u> is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or e	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			• •	CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Exar	niner. Note the attached	Office Action or form F	PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for ☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of t	the priority	documents have been	received in this Nationa	al Stage			
	application from the International	•	• • •					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of	the certified copies not	received.				
Attachmen			_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	0.48\		Summary (PTO-413) S)/Mail Date				
	e of Draπsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	- <del>34</del> 0)		nformal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/773,411 Page 2

Art Unit: 2627

## **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. <u>Claims 1, 3, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being</u>

  <u>anticipated by Sugiyama et al. (US Patent Number 5,553,051).</u>

Regarding claim 1, Sugiyama teaches an information recording and playback method for a recording medium including a substrate forming a first groove having a depth and a second groove adjacent to said first groove and different depth from the depth of said first groove (column 10 lines 4-6 and Figure 5A elements 24, 25, and 29); and a recording layer formed on said substrate to record information marks (column 9 lines 60 and 61 and Figure 5A element 30), comprising the steps of: irradiating a light to said recording medium (column 9 lines 63 and 64) "having following relations, in optical characteristics of said marks in relation to said first and second grooves, where a relative amplitude of reflectivity of said recorded mark (a reference reflectivity is a reflectivity of non-recorded part) is r and optical phase differences of said marks of said first and second grooves are  $\Phi 1$  and  $\Phi 2$ , respectively, an expression of  $2N\pi = \Phi 1 + \Phi 2$ 

Art Unit: 2627

(where N is an integer) satisfies, and said r further satisfies 1-

 $2 \cdot r \cdot \cos(\Phi 1) + r^2 \cdot \cos(2 \cdot \Phi 1) = 0$  or  $1 + 2 \cdot r \cdot \cos(\Phi 1) + r^2 \cdot \cos(2 \cdot \Phi 1) = 0$ " (the aforementioned "relations in optical characteristics" is interpreted as an orthogonal relationship between the first and second grooves; see column 13 lines 29 and 30 where the depth of the shallow and deep grooves are  $\lambda/8n$  and  $3\lambda/8n$  respectively the difference of which is 1/4n or 1/4

Regarding claim 3, Sugiyama teaches an information recording and playback method as defined in claim 1, wherein said recording or said playback is a recording or playback of multi-value information (see column 10 lines 22 and 23 where the "multi-value information" is the user data).

Regarding claim 5, Sugiyama teaches an information recording and playback method as defined in claim 1, wherein an orthogonality satisfies both between adjacent marks in a radial direction and between adjacent marks in a track direction (see Figure 15B).

Claim 6 is drawn to the information recording medium corresponding to the method of using same as claimed in claim 1. Therefore the information recording medium claim 6 corresponds to method claim 1, and is rejected for the same reasons of anticipation as used above.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,411 Page 4

Art Unit: 2627

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Isshiki et

al. (US PGPub Number 2003/0218955 A1).

Regarding claim 7, an information playback method for reading out information by irradiating an optical spot on an information recording medium having a plurality of tracks, said method comprising the steps of: irradiating said optical spot simultaneously on a first track and a second track adjacent to said first track, among said plurality of tracks (see paragraph [0007] and Figure 2A); and maintaining an orthogonal relation with a depth of a recorded mark recorded on said first track and a depth of a recorded mark recorded on said second track, when both the recorded marks are converted to electric signals (see paragraph [0012], specifically the discussion of the depth of each pit and the phase of reflected light).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. <u>Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over</u>

<u>Sugiyama et al. (US Patent Number 5,553,051) as applied to claim 1 above, and</u>

<u>further in view of Isshiki et al. (US PGPub Number 2003/0218955 A1).</u>

Regarding claim 2, Sugiyama teaches an information recording and playback method including all of the limitations of claim 1 but fails to teach that a plurality of said marks exist inside an optical spot of the light irradiated to said recording medium.

Isshiki, however, teaches wherein a plurality of said marks exist inside an optical spot of the light irradiated to said recording medium (paragraph [0006]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the technique of narrowing the track pitch taught by Isshiki into the information recording and playback method of Sugiyama. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to combine the teachings in order to increase the recording density of the optical disc (see Isshiki paragraph [0004]).

8. <u>Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over</u>

<u>Sugiyama et al. (US Patent Number 5,553,051) as applied to claim 1 above, and</u>

<u>further in view of Van Kesteren (WO 03/034412 A2).</u>

Regarding claim 4, Sugiyama discloses an information recording and playback method including all of the limitations of claim 1 but fails to teach that an area changes for each of said marks. Van Kesteren, however, teaches an information recording and

Art Unit: 2627

playback method wherein an area changes for each of said marks (see column 4 lines 16 and 17).

Page 6

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Sugiyama and Van Kesteren. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to combine the teachings in order to reduce the cross-talk of the device (see Van Kesteren column 1 line 29 and column 2 lines 1-3).

### Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 8 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claims 8 and 9, none of the references of record, alone or in combination suggest or fairly teach an information playback method for reading out information including all of the limitations of claim 7 wherein when a readout signal from said first track is S1 and a readout signal from said second track is S2, frequencies of carrier waves of said S1 and said S2 are equal frequency but both phases are deviated by 90 degrees from each other, information bits "1" and "0" of said S1 are deviated by 180 degrees in phases, and information bits "1" and "0" of said S2 are deviated by 180 degrees in phases.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,411 Page 7

Art Unit: 2627

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LaTanya Bibbins whose telephone number is (571) 270-1125. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30 am -5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wayne Young can be reached on 571 272-7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patent Examiner

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER